arXiv:1503.03383v1 [math.OC] 8 Mar 2015

An Explicit SOS Decomposition of A Fourth Order Four Dimensional Hankel Tensor with A Symmetric Generating Vector

Yannan Chen* Liqun Qi† Qun Wang ‡

March 12, 2015

Abstract

In this note, we construct explicit SOS decomposition of A Fourth Order Four Dimensional Hankel Tensor with A Symmetric Generating Vector, at the critical value. This is a supplementary note to Paper [3].

Key words: Hankel tensors, generating vectors, sum of squares, positive semi-definiteness.

AMS subject classifications (2010): 15A18; 15A69

We construct an explicit SOS decomposition for the homogeneous polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$. Let

$$\mathbf{u} := \left(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1x_3, x_2x_4, x_1x_2, x_3x_4, x_2x_3, x_1x_4\right)^\top,$$

be a basis of quadratics. Then, $f(\mathbf{x})$ is SOS if and only if there exists a PSD matrix C such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^\top C\mathbf{u}$. Inspired by the Cholecky decomposition of C, we define the parameterized SOS decomposition of $f(\mathbf{x})$ as follows

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{10} q_k^2(\mathbf{x}),$$

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China. E-mail: ynchen@zzu.edu.cn (Y. Chen) This author's work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401539) and the Development Foundation for Excellent Youth Scholars of Zhengzhou University (Grant No. 1421315070).

†Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. E-mail: maqilq@polyu.edu.hk (L. Qi). This author's work was partially supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 502111, 501212, 501913 and 15302114).

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Email: wangqun876@gmail.com (Q. Wang).

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{11}x_1^2 + \alpha_{12}x_2^2 + \alpha_{13}x_3^2 + \alpha_{14}x_4^2 + \alpha_{15}x_1x_3 + \alpha_{16}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{17}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{18}x_3x_4 \\
&\quad + \alpha_{19}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{1,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{22}x_2^2 + \alpha_{23}x_3^2 + \alpha_{24}x_4^2 + \alpha_{25}x_1x_3 + \alpha_{26}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{27}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{28}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{29}x_2x_3 \\
&\quad + \alpha_{2,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_3(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{33}x_3^2 + \alpha_{34}x_4^2 + \alpha_{35}x_1x_3 + \alpha_{36}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{37}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{38}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{39}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{3,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_4(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{44}x_4^2 + \alpha_{45}x_1x_3 + \alpha_{46}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{47}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{48}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{49}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{4,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_5(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{55}x_1x_3 + \alpha_{56}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{57}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{58}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{59}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{5,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_6(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{66}x_2x_4 + \alpha_{67}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{68}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{69}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{6,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_7(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{77}x_1x_2 + \alpha_{78}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{79}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{7,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_8(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{88}x_3x_4 + \alpha_{89}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{8,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_9(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{99}x_2x_3 + \alpha_{9,10}x_1x_4, \\
q_{10}(\mathbf{x}) &= \alpha_{10,10}x_1x_4.
\end{aligned}
$$

The involved 55 parameters $\alpha_{i,j}, (i \leq j)$, satisfies the following 35 equality constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_0 &= \alpha_{11}^2, && (1)\\
4v_1 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{17}, && (2)\\
4v_2 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{15}, && (3)\\
6v_2 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{12} + \sum_{k=1}^{7} \alpha_{k7}^2, && (4)\\
4v_3 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{1,10}, && (5)\\
12v_3 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{19} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{5} \alpha_{k5}\alpha_{k7}, && (6)\\
4v_3 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{2} \alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k7}, && (7)\\
12 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{16} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{7} \alpha_{k7}\alpha_{k10}, && (8)\\
6 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{13} + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \alpha_{k5}^2, && (9)\\
12 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{2} \alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k5} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{7} \alpha_{k7}\alpha_{k9}, && (10)\\
1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{2} \alpha_{k2}^2, && (11)\\
12v_5 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{18} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{5} \alpha_{k5}\alpha_{k,10}, && (12)\\
12v_5 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{2} \alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k10} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{6} \alpha_{k6}\alpha_{k7}, && (13)\\
12v_5 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k7} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{5} \alpha_{k5}\alpha_{k9}, && (14)\\
4v_5 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{2} \alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k9}, && (15)\\
6v_6 &= 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{14} + \sum_{k=1}^{10} \alpha_{k10}^2, && (16)\\
24v_6 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{5} \alpha_{k5}\alpha_{k6} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{7} \alpha_{k7}\alpha_{k8} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{9} \alpha_{k9}\alpha_{k10}, && (17)\\
4v_6 &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k5}, && (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$4v_6 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{2}\alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k6}, \tag{19}$$

$$6v_6 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{2}\alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k3} + \sum_{k=1}^{9}\alpha_{k9}^2, \tag{20}$$

$$12v_5 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k7} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{6}\alpha_{k6}\alpha_{k10}, \tag{21}$$

$$12v_5 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k10} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{5}\alpha_{k5}\alpha_{k8}, \tag{22}$$

$$12v_5 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{2}\alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k8} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{6}\alpha_{k6}\alpha_{k9}, \tag{23}$$

$$4v_5 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k9}, \tag{24}$$

$$12 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k5} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{8}\alpha_{k8}\alpha_{k10}, \tag{25}$$

$$6 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{2}\alpha_{k2}\alpha_{k4} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{6}\alpha_{k6}^2, \tag{26}$$

$$12 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k6} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{8}\alpha_{k8}\alpha_{k9}, \tag{27}$$

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}^2, \tag{28}$$

$$4v_3 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k10}, \tag{29}$$

$$12v_3 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k9} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{6}\alpha_{k6}\alpha_{k8}, \tag{30}$$

$$4v_3 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k8}, \tag{31}$$

$$4v_2 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k6}, \tag{32}$$

$$6v_2 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{3}\alpha_{k3}\alpha_{k4} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{8}\alpha_{k8}^2, \tag{33}$$

$$4v_1 = 2\sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}\alpha_{k8}, \tag{34}$$

$$v_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{4}\alpha_{k4}^2. \tag{35}$$

Giving fixed v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5, we denote $M_1(v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5)$ as the minimum of the following optimization problems:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5) := \min \quad & v_0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \text{equality constraints (1)-(35).} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By simple algebraic derivation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that the assumptions (2) and (3) in [3] hold. Then if* $v_0 \geq M_1(v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5)$, $\mathcal{A}$ *is SOS and*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{10} q_k(\mathbf{x})^2.$$

Thus, $M_1(v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5) \geq M_0(v_2, v_6, v_1, v_3, v_5)$.

References

[1] A.A. Ahmadi and P.A. Parrilo, "A convex polynomial that is not sos-convex", *Mathematical Programming* **135** (2012) 275-292.

[2] R. Badeau and R. Boyer, "Fast multilinear singular value decomposition for structured tensors", *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **30** (2008) 1008-1021.

[3] Y. Chen, L. Qi and Q. Wang, "Positive semi-definiteness and sum-of-squares property of fourth order four dimensional Hankel tensors", arXiv:1502.04566, March 2015.

[4] G. Chesi, "On the gap between positive polynomials and SOS of polynomials", *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **52** (2007) 1066-1072.

[5] M.D. Choi and T.Y. Lam, "Extremal positive semidefinite forms", *Mathematische Annalen* **231** (1977) 1-18.

[6] W. Ding, L. Qi and Y. Wei, "Fast Hankel tensor-vector products and application to exponential data fitting", *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, (2015), DOI: 10.1002/nla.1970.

[7] C. Fidalgo and A. Kovacec, "Positive semidefinite diagonal minus tail forms are sums of squares", *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **269** (2011) 629-645.

[8] D. Hilbert, "Über die Darstellung definiter Formen als Summe von Formenquadraten", *Mathematical Annals*, **32** (1888) 342-350.

[9] S. Hu, G. Li, L. Qi and Y. Song, "Finding the maximum eigenvalue of essentially nonnegative symmetric tensors via sum of squares programming", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications,* **158** (2013) 717-738.

[10] S. Hu, G. Li and L. Qi, "A tensor analogy of Yuan's alternative theorem and polynomial optimization with sign structure", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, (2015), DOI 10.1007/s10957-014-0652-1.

[11] J.B. Lasserre, "Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments", *SIAM Journal on Optimization* **11** (2001) 796-817.

[12] M. Laurent, "Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials", *Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry*, Vol. 149 of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, M. Putinar and S. Sullivant eds., Springer, (2009) pp. 157-270.

[13] G. Li, L. Qi and Q. Wang, "Are there sixth order three dimensional Hankel tensors?", arXiv:1411.2368, November 2014.

[14] G. Li, L. Qi and Y. Xu, "SOS-Hankel Tensors: Theory and Application", arXiv:1410.6989, October 2014.

[15] J. Löfberg, "YALMIP: A Toolbox for Modeling and Optimization in MATLAB", In: *Proceedings of the CACSD Conference*, Taipei, Taiwan, (2004).

[16] Z. Luo, L. Qi and Y. Ye, "Linear operators and positive semidefiniteness of symmetric tensor spaces", *Science China Mathematics* **58** (2015) 197-212.

[17] T.S. Motzkin, "The arithmetic-geometric inequality", In: *Inequalities*, O. Shisha ed., Academic Press, New York, (1967) pp. 205-224.

[18] J.M. Papy, L. De Lauauwer and S. Van Huffel, "Exponential data fitting using multilinear algebra: The single-channel and multi-channel case", *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications* **12** (2005) 809-826.

[19] L. Qi, "Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor", *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **40** (2005) 1302-1324.

[20] L. Qi, "Hankel tensors: Associated Hankel matrices and Vandermonde decomposition", *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* **13** (2015) 113-125.

[21] B. Reznick, "Some concrete aspects of Hilbert's 17th problem", *Contemporary Mathematics* **253** (2000) 251-272.